UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

For the District of New Jersey

United States of America)
v. JUNIUS AQUINO) Case No. 2:20-mj-1188
)
Defendant)
DETENTION OF	RDER PENDING TRIAL
After conducting a detention hearing under the B require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	sail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
Part I—	Findings of Fact
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of
a federal offense a state or local off	Fense that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § for which the prison term is 10 years or m	§ 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) nore.
an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term	n of ten years or more is prescribed in
	.*
a felony committed after the defendant had be in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comp	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described barable state or local offenses:
any felony that is not a crime of violence but	t involves:
a minor victim	
the possession or use of a firearm or des	tructive device or any other dangerous weapon
a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was comme federal, state release or local offense.	nitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
(3) A period of less than five years has elapsed sin	nce the date of conviction the defendant's release
from prison for the offense described in findin	g (1).
	ble presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety r find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
Alternat	tive Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the def	endant has committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of ten yea	rs or more is prescribed in .
, in the second	r

United States District Court

for the District of New Jersey

(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure
	the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

Alternative Findings (B)

- (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that

Bail Denied – The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	s/ Mark Falk
	Judge's Signature
	Honorable Mark Falk, USMJ
	Name and Title